TRANSPARENCY & ACCOUNTABILITY OF THE EUROPEAN INVESTMENT BANK

# 

# MAIN FINDINGS: THE EU'S BANK

### Not a classic 'development' bank

- Hybrid: EU body, (commercial) bank
  → Lacks focus on transparency, human rights due diligence
- Embedded in EU law framework
  - Tangled web of laws, policies, guidelines
  - $\rightarrow$  Challenge of implementation, transparency would help
- Mainly accountable to MS
  - EIB managing ever more programmes based on the EU budget: ELM, H2020, CEF, PBI, EFSI, EIP, micro-finance





 ✓ Increased 'financialisation' of EU budget should lead to greater transparency, formal accountability, to enable effective controls

# **MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE ROLES**



Ensuring Vice-Presidents' independence

- EIB Vice-Presidents are currently put in charge of their home countries
  - Knowledge of their private sector and 'national champions'
  - Proximity to national governments, who appoint them
  - $\rightarrow$  Commissioners do not have country responsibility



### The EIB should:

- ✓ Not put VPs in charge of their home countries
- ✓ Join the EU Transparency Register
- ✓ Publish meetings of Management Committee members

# **EIB GOVERNING BODIES**

### Establishing clear responsibilities

- "Non-executive" Board of Directors
  - Flies in 10 times per year
  - Approves 1.125 bn EUR of loans per hour -
  - Conflicts of interest are published in ad hoc fashion
- Management Committee also not responsible for loan decisions
  - Declarations of interest lack detail (cf. Ombudsman)

# The EIB should:

- Publish meeting minutes of BoD and Management Committee
- Publish detailed declarations of interest for both bodies







# **BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND TAXES**



### Becoming an EU policy tool

- Recent legislative activity on
  - Anti-Money Laundering
  - Beneficial ownership (BO) transparency
  - Public country-by-country reporting (CBCR)
- Specific Commission calls on EIB to
  - Ensure no EU funds channelled through tax havens

## The EIB should:

 Require publication of BO info for all bidders on EIB-funded procurement



✓ Update its 2009 policy on "non-cooperative jurisdictions" (NCJs)

# JUNCKER INVESTMENT FUND



European Fund for Strategic Investments

- Use of EU budget to guarantee private sector risk
  - This entails stronger EU-level accountability
  - Private sector Investment Committee members under insufficient scrutiny
  - → Project assessments under the scoreboard of indicators will be made more transparent in EFSI 2.0



## The EIB should:

- ✓ Publish Investment Committee minutes & scoreboard assessments
- ✓ Strengthen parliamentary scrutiny of the Commission's EFSI appointments in the Steering Board

### www.transparency.eu /euro

# DEBARMENT

Excluding corrupt entities from EIB funds

- EIB debarments are published in an ad hoc way
  - All 3 debarred entities pertain to the same case \_

The EIB should:

- Publish debarred entities on a dedicated list
- $\checkmark$  Join cross-debarment lists with other multilateral lenders
- Implement the Commission's Early Detection and Exclusion System

Entities debarred by the EIB

820

Entities debarred by

the World Bank



